

High-Impact Tutoring Community of Practice

Please rename yourself to include your organization and/or district.

Icebreaker: You can only eat one food again for the rest of your life. What is it?

April 17th, 2024



April 17th, 2024 ExpandEDSchools.org

Agenda

I. Welcome + Framing (10 min)

- o ExpandED/NYCPS Introductions
- o Community Agreements
- II. Initiative Updates (10 min)
- III. PM Case Studies (35 mins)
- IV. Group Activity (25 mins)
 - o Optimizing internal relationships
- V. Closing (10 min)
 - o Feedback survey



Introductions



Close the learning gap. Open the world.



Saskia Traill, PhD CEO & President



Rashida Ladner-Seward Chief Program Officer



Melanie Gardner-Ojefua VP, High-Impact Tutoring



Ava Lehner Program Director, Policy & Sustainability



Jacquelyn Lekhraj Director, Implementation





Sameer Talati Strategic Partnerships





Rebekah NelsonGregory SavitzStrategic PartnershipsStrategic Partnerships



Community Agreements



Expect and accept a lack of closure





Bring a willingness to learn and unlearn

Adapted from Glenn E. Singleton & Curtis Linton, Courageous Conversations about Race: A Field Guide for Achieving Equity in Schools. 2006. pp.58-65. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin.



Initiative Updates

Implementation

- Cycle 2 of High–Impact Tutoring Launched
- Educator Career Development
 - Educator Career Development Hub Live
 - Career Coaching
 - Professional Development Sessions
 - April 15th: *Funding Your Future*
 - May 10th: How to Apply to Post-Secondary Programs
 - May 14th:
 - Educator Careers Networking
 - Pathways to Teacher Certification
 - Landscape Analysis Dissemination
 - May 7th: Inclusive Educator Pathways
 - May 21st: Tutor-to-Teacher Pipeline

Who Makes HIT Happen?



Role of a HIT Program Manager

PMs help schools **build capacity** to run effective HIT programs.

- Support existing school HIT team members with logistics scheduling, attendance, communication, etc.
- Conduct **observations** & provide ongoing **feedback**/guidance
- **Intervene** as needed to effect necessary changes (staffing, scheduling, curriculum, tutor preparation, New Visions)

PMs identify best HIT practices and share between schools:

- Make **tutoring provider** recommendations based on need
- Provide tools for **attendance & data sharing**
- Give concrete examples of different tutoring models



Examples of PM Interventions

- Support with New Visions portal to ensure accurate & ongoing data collection
- Ongoing review of **student rosters** based on NV data
- Support with scheduling:
 - Ensure **program fidelity** (3x/week frequency)
 - Add coplanning periods & regular meeting times for HIT team
 - Adjust model as needed (after school to school day shift)
- Identifying best practices for mid-cycle progress monitoring
- Ensuring tutor quality & effectiveness in hired provider staff
- Setting up systems for effective **curriculum preparation** & sharing
- Facilitating communication between providers & schools as needed



D10 Case Study - Year 1 of HIT

Year 1 -

- Ran a **pull out model** with provider curriculum
- Struggled with **tutor & student attendance consistency, tutor quality, curricular alignment,** and **school integration**/support from teachers.

PM Support/Interventions during Year 1:

- Worked with school to implement attendance incentive system
- Ongoing feedback around **curricular alignment** & **communication**
- Observations & feedback to improve **tutor quality**

PM Support/Interventions end of Year 1:

- Switch to **push-in model** to improve attendance & integration
- Advised pairing tutors with a teacher & grade to improve integration & collaboration/support for tutors

D10 Case Study - Year 2 of HIT

Year 2 -

- **Push-in model** with a new provider & classroom curriculum
- Remaining challenges with tutor attendance consistency & collaboration/communication between school & tutors
- Scheduling difficulties resulted in each tutor working with 2–3 teachers instead of being paired with only 1.

PM Support/Interventions during Year 2:

- **Clarify expectations** for both tutors & teachers around materials sharing & preparation of small group instruction
- Support communication between provider & school around staffing & consistency
- Support with expanding HIT team to redistribute responsibility for New Visions attendance tracking

D10 Case Study - Improvements

Programmatic Improvements – as of last site visit (2 out of 3)

- Teachers have shifted their view of tutors from not wanting to send students to sessions (Y1) to including them in their classroom communities & praising the support they provide students (Y2).
- Tutors have shifted from teaching skills that did not directly align with classroom curriculum (Y1) to supporting directly with classroom curriculum & re-teaching skills as needed (Y2).
- Student attendance has improved due to the model shift.
- Instead of HIT lead (AP) being responsible for New Visions input (Y1), attendance tracking is now done by tutors & secretary (Y2).
- School & provider now communicate without support from PM.

<u>Year 1:</u>

- Serving K-2 ELA & 6-8 Math for virtual programming
- 2 external vendors
 - 1 HIT Lead (however, Principal was involved)
- For K-2 ELA: Virtual tutors, set in the library for a pull-out model, one teacher in the space who assisted students with logging on and supervised tutoring.
 - School was very satisfied with the vendor and felt that the lessons were high-quality.
- For 6-8 Math: Virtual tutors, set in classrooms for a push-in model and the onus was placed on students to retrieve technology and login independently during classes. This resulted in a lack of buy-in from students and teachers. Student attendance and engagement was low.

<u>EOY Meeting \rightarrow Year 2:</u>

- During our EOY meeting, PM and school-team discussed what worked specifically and what had room to improve in the second year.

<u>Highlights</u>:

- Quality program and tutors from vendor 1 (K-2 ELA program).
- Library provided ample space for students to spread out and still sit in pods.
- 1 teacher volunteered to supervise the K-2 program and assisted with set up, login, supervision, and clean up.
- HIT lead consistently checked in on the 6–8 math program to ensure the sessions were taking place.
- Proactive school team observed the kinks in the program and came to the meeting with their non-negotiables and school/student needs, ready for proposals and guidance.

EOY Meeting \rightarrow Year 2:

- During our EOY meeting, PM and school-team discussed what worked specifically and what had room to improve in the second year.

Areas of Opportunity:

- No designated space for 6–8 students like K–2 students.
- Students retrieved technology during other content class time and independently log-in.
- Low student engagement led to low student attendance with the middle-school group. Low buy-in.
- Tutors from vendor 2 (6–8 math program) were lacking professionalism (informal dress-code & laid-back attitude) which did not boost student morale.
- Capacity and structure differed between programs.

<u>Year 2:</u>

- Serving K-2 ELA & 6-8 Math for both virtual & in-person programming
- 2 external vendors
 - <u>K-2</u> **virtual** (same vendor from year 1)
 - <u>6-8</u>- in-person (new vendor)
 - **3** members on the school-based implementation team
 - PM & HIT team had several pre-launch meetings
- For <u>K-2 ELA</u>: Several virtual tutors, pull-out into two designated classrooms (8 students max) with 1 teacher in each room to assist students with logging on and to supervise. <u>K-2</u>
 <u>Program launched and progressed smoothly.</u>
- For <u>6-8 Math</u>: **1 in-person tutor**, a **mix of push-in & pull-out**.
 - This program saw a timely launch, but kinks arose. School-team noted that the communication with vendor was lacking, tutor's communication to HIT lead was unprofessional, and school-team was not impressed with the quality of instruction and curriculum.
 - During the 1st site visit, PM noticed all of the above and noted that students were not engaged, visibly confused, and did not feel comfortable to ask for clarification.

PM Intervention:

- PM met with the 6–8 vendor and relayed the school's challenges. This resulted in a solution of deploying a new tutor and pulling the current tutor out of the school.
 - PM relayed this status update to the school and program was put on hold for one month.
 - PM would continue to reach out to vendor to receive status updates and send updates to school.
- Once new tutor arrived, school-team was nervous that this tutor would be similar to the other tutor. *This opened up space for PM to reframe the team's mindset toward this fear and move forward in a positive and hopeful direction.*
 - PM also shared successes from other schools with similar models and their best practices.

Fast forward 1 month to the next site visit...

 School team is excited to share how the new tutor is a better fit for the school, the steps they took to integrate the tutor into the school community, and how students and teachers really like the tutor! Complete 180 or "night and day!" as the principal expressed.



Where are we now?

- School has seen increased student engagement in both programs due to tutor(s)'s reliability, consistent attendance, and positive attitude and interactive instruction.
 - Student attendance has remained above an 80% attendance rate.
 - HIT school leads have weekly planning meetings with vendor 2's tutor and monthly check-ins with vendor 1.

HIT leads communicated that they **feel the strength of the provider-school partnership** and **success of their programs** can be attributed to **open communication** between the **PM, provider**, and **school.**

• PM personally uses the <u>Relationship Based in Trust</u> rubric as a baseline and believes that the ultimate success of programs will be directly correlated to strong relationships, which will be felt (and appreciated) by the entire school community.

Group Activity





Breakout Room Activity

Breakout Room Discussion

- Using what you learned from the Program Manager case studies, reflect on the following question:
 - What systems need to be in place to ensure a productive relationship between PMs, Providers, and School Leaders?
- Use sticky notes to fill out the diagram on the <u>Jamboard</u>.
 - What are the roles of a PM, tutoring provider, and school leader in implementing HIT
 - How can we incorporate what we've learned from Years 1 and 2 of implementation to inform Year 3 planning?

Whole Group Share-Out

- Group spokesperson shares
- Use post-it notes to make comments, ask questions, show resonance, etc.



Policy Working Group Updates

Next Policy Working Group: Wednesday May 1st 12:00pm - 1:00pm

- Topic: New York State FY25 State Budget Overview
 - Class Size Mandate

About the Policy Working Group

- Meets monthly for one hour outside of the CoP
- Anyone can join with or without policy experience
 - We may ask group members to commit a moderate amount of work (i.e. research, proposal drafts etc.) outside of the monthly meeting



Feedback Survey

- Follow-Up Survey:
 - Feedback <u>Survey</u>
- Important Dates:
 - <u>Next Policy Working Group</u>: Wednesday, May 1st 12:00pm –
 1:00pm







11 West 42nd Street, 3rd Floor New York, NY 10036 646.943.8700 info@expandedschools.org

ExpandEDSchools.org